

3/35/129

2027
5

No. 26 BOX 9982

IN THE

Baltimore City Court.

THE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL
OF BALTIMORE

A Body Politic and Corporate,
Plaintiff.

vs.

J. STEWART DAVIS

215 St. Paul St.

Defendant

MR. CLERK:

Issue in this case and send copy of the
declaration and notice with the writ, to be
served on the defendant, and make the
writ returnable on the second Monday of

January..... 19 30

A. Walth Kraus
Attorney for Plaintiff.

Filed... 3... day of... Dec... 19 29

FILED DEC 3 - 1929

**The Mayor and City Council of Baltimore,
A Body Politic and Corporate,**

VS.

IN THE

..... J. STEWART DAVIS

..... Baltimore City..... **Court**

The Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, a body politic and corporate, by A. Walter Kraus,
its attorney, sues J. Stewart Davis,

defendant ,

For money payable by the defendant to the plaintiff:

1. For goods bargained and sold by the plaintiff to the defendant .
2. And for work done and materials provided by the plaintiff for the defendant at his request.
3. And for money lent by the plaintiff to the defendant .
4. And for money paid by the plaintiff for the defendant at his request.
5. And for money received by the defendant for the use of the plaintiff.
6. And for money found to be due from the defendant to the plaintiff on account stated

between them.

7. And for that the defendant on and after the first day of October, in the year 19 25 ,
was lawfully in the possession of and owned certain chattels personal, goods, wares and merchandise
in the City of Baltimore, Maryland, upon which there was duly made by the Appeal Tax Court of Bal-
timore City, an assessment of \$ 2000.00 for purposes of State and City taxation for the year
19 26 , upon which said assessment there was lawfully levied by the Mayor and City Council of Bal-
timore by Ordinance No. 518 , approved Dec. 3, 1925 , a tax of .27-12/35 cents per \$100 for
State purposes for said year 19 26 , and there was also lawfully levied on the aforesaid assessment by
the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, by Ordinance No. 513 , approved Dec. 3, 1925 ,
a tax of \$ 2.48 per \$100 for City purposes for said year 19 26 . , said State and City taxes upon said
assessment, including interest and penalties to the date of the institution of this suit amounting to
\$ 71.16 , which said amount is now due and payable by said defendant to the plaintiff, and the
defendant though often requested so to do, has failed and refused to pay said taxes, or any part
thereof, and still fails and refuses so to do.

8. And for that the defendant on and after the first day of October, in the year 19 26 ,
was lawfully in the possession of and owned certain chattels personal, goods, wares and merchandise
in the City of Baltimore, Maryland, upon which there was duly made by the Appeal Tax Court of Bal-

timore City, an assessment of \$ 2000.00 for purposes of State and City taxation for the year 19 27 , upon which said assessment there was lawfully levied by the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore by Ordinance No. 926 , approved Dec. 17, 1926 , a tax of .27-1/4 cents per \$100 for State purposes for said year 19 27 , and there was also levied on the aforesaid assessment by the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, by Ordinance No. 927 , approved Dec. 17, 1926 , a tax of \$ 2.39 per \$100 for City purposes for said year 19 27 , said State and City taxes upon said assessment, including interest and penalties to the date of the institution of this suit amounting to \$ 64.74 , which said amount is now due and payable by said defendant to the plaintiff, and the defendant though often requested so to do, has failed and refused to pay said taxes, or any part thereof, and still fails and refuse s so to do.

9. And for that the defendant on and after the first day of October, in the year 19 w lawfully in the possession of and owned certain chattels personal, goods, wares and merchandise in the City of Baltimore, Maryland, upon which there was duly made by the Appeal Tax Court of Baltimore City, an assessment of \$ for purposes of State and City taxation for the year 19 , upon which said assessment there was lawfully levied by the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore by Ordinance No. , approved , a tax of cents per \$100 for State purposes for said year 19 , and there was also levied on the aforesaid assessment by the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, by Ordinance No. , approved , a tax of \$ per \$100 for City purposes for said year 19 , said State and City taxes upon said assessment, including interest and penalties to the date of the institution of this suit amounting to \$ which said amount is now due and payable by said defendant to the plaintiff, and the defendant though often requested so to do, ha failed and refused to pay said taxes, or any part thereof, and still fail and refuse so to do.

10. And for that the defendant on and after the first day of October, in the year 19 , w lawfully in the possession of and owned certain chattels personal, goods, wares and merchandise in the City of Baltimore, Maryland, upon which there was duly made by the Appeal Tax Court of Baltimore City, an assessment of \$ for purposes of State and City taxation for the year 19 , upon which said assessment there was lawfully levied by the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore by Ordinance No. , approved , a tax of cents per \$100 for State purposes for said year 19 , and there was also levied on the aforesaid assessment by the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, by Ordinance No. , approved , a tax of \$ per \$100 for City purposes for said year 19 , said State and City taxes upon said assessment, including interest and penalties to the date of the institution of this suit amounting to \$ which said amount is now due and payable by said defendant to the plaintiff, and the defendant though often requested so to do, ha failed and refused to pay said taxes, or any part thereof, and still fail and refuse so to do.

And the plaintiff claims \$ 300.00

A. Walter Rums
Attorney for Plaintiff.

TO THE DEFENDANT :

Take Notice —That upon the day of your appearance to this action, a rule will be laid upon you requiring you to plead to the Declaration in accordance with the Act of 1886, Chapter 184, and all supplements and amendments thereto, or judgment by default will be entered against you.


Attorney for Plaintiff.

I hereby authorize and approve the institution of the above suit.


Mayor.

STATE OF MARYLAND, }
CITY OF BALTIMORE } *Sct.*

I HEREBY CERTIFY, That on this 3rd day of December, 19 29 , before me, the subscriber, a Notary Public of the State of Maryland, in and for the City of Baltimore aforesaid, duly commissioned and qualified, personally appeared John T. Donohue , City Collector, and agent for and in behalf of the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, plaintiff, and made oath in due form of law that there is justly due and owing by J. Stewart Davis,

the defendant in the said case, to the plaintiff on annexed statements and accounts (the causes of action in said cause) the sum of \$ 135.90 (with interest from January 1st, 19 30), over and above all discounts, to the best of his knowledge and belief. And he further swears that he is the Agent of the said plaintiff and duly authorized to make this affidavit, and has personal knowledge of the matters therein stated.

WITNESS my hand and notarial seal.


Notary Public.

TO
 P
 Mak
 ASSE
 AUT



CASHIER'S STUB

PERSONAL PROPERTY-DUPLICATE-

29

AUTO

MISC.

NAM NAME

J. Stewart Davis

BOOK

459

ADD ADDRESS

215 St Paul St

BILL

141

1929

AMOUNT

INT-PEN

DISC.

STATE

None

CITY

NE

NEW ADDITION

TOTAL

Up

B. R.
 AUTO
 STATE

Yr.

CITY

NE

N. A.

MISC

MISC.
 STATE

27
26
27
26

NE

CITY
 N. A.

548
547
4780
4960

TOTAL

INT.-PEN.-DISC.

2755

TOTAL

1/1/30

135.90

Book 7-4792

T

TO STATE OF MD. MAYOR & CITY COUNCIL OF BALTO., DR.

PERSONAL PROPERTY-DUPLICATE STATEMENT-1929

Make Checks Payable to City Collector, Baltimore

ASSESSMENTS:

AUTO \$

MISC. \$

NAME

J Stewart Davis

ADDRESS

215 St Paul st

BOOK

459

BILL

141

1929		AMOUNT	INT-PEN	DISC.
STATE TAX \$2.2574 PER \$100				None
CITY TAX \$2.38 PER \$100				
NEW ADDITION TAX \$1.904 PER \$100				
TOTAL		<i>7116</i>		
Unpaid Taxes	Yr.			
<u>AUTO</u>		<i>77-6474</i>		
<u>STATE</u>		<i>13590</i>		
<u>CITY</u>				
NEW ADDITION				
<u>MISCELLANEOUS</u>	<i>27</i>	<i>548</i>	<i>73</i>	
<u>STATE</u>	<i>26</i>	<i>547</i>	<i>132</i>	
<u>CITY</u>	<i>27</i>	<i>4180</i>	<i>1073</i>	
NEW ADDITION	<i>26</i>	<i>4960</i>	<i>1457</i>	
TOTAL		<i>10835</i>	<i>2755</i>	
INT.-PEN.-DISC.		<i>2755</i>		
TOTAL		<i>13590</i>		

7-4792

**SAVE TIME
RETAIN THIS BILL
AND PRESENT WITH
YOUR PAYMENT**

Discount—Interest and
Penalty Rates
(For Current Year
Charges.)

DISCOUNTS:

State Tax	NONE
City Tax:		
If paid in... January	1%	
If paid in... February	1%	
If paid in... March	¾%	
If paid in... April	½%	
If paid in... May	¼%	

INTEREST AND PENALTY

State Tax:		
If paid in October	½%	
If paid in November	1%	
If paid in December	1½%	
City Tax:		
If paid in August	1½%	
If paid in September	3%	
If paid in October	4½%	
If paid in November	6%	
If paid in December	8½%	

1929 Taxes Not Paid by July 1,
1929, Are in Arrears and Subject
to Legal Action.

CITY TAX LEVY, 1929

Showing the distribution of the Full Tax rate (Segregated as to
functions of government) for the maintenance of the City Government
on each one hundred dollars paid by the Property-owner.

1. General Government1766	per \$100
2. Protection of Persons and Property.....	.4853	per \$100
3. Conservation of Health.....	.0536	per \$100
4. Sanitation—Promotion of Cleanliness.....	.1809	per \$100
5. Highways1252	per \$100
6. Charities, Hospitals and Corrections.....	.1009	per \$100
7. Education5535	per \$100
8. Recreation0043	per \$100
9. Public Service Enterprises, includes Water Dept., etc. (Self Sustaining)		
10. Interest and Sinking Funds: Interest on City Debt.....	.4455	per \$100
Sinking Funds on City Debt.....	.1614	per \$100
11. Pensions and Workmen's Compensation.....	.0923	per \$100

City Tax Levy, 1929.....\$2.38

The parks are maintained by the Railway Park Tax.

Data Regarding the 1929 Levy

Assessable basis for 1929	
Assessable at—City, Sub- urban, Rural and New Annex rates	\$1,303,063,074
Assessable at other fixed rates	738,220,254
Total assessable basis...	\$2,041,283,328

Tax Rates for 1929 (Per \$100)

Full City Rate	\$2.38
Suburban Rate	1.666
Rural Rate952
New Addition	1.904
Bank Shares	1.00
Surety, Casualty, Guaranty and Fidelity Companies	1.50
Securities30
Savings Bank Deposits.....	.18¾
State2574
State Tax on Securities.....	.15

One Cent on the Full Tax Rate Produces \$113,620.81

TO STATE OF MD. MAYOR & CITY COUNCIL OF BALTO., DR.

PERSONAL PROPERTY-DUPLICATE STATEMENT-1929

Make Checks Payable to City Collector, Baltimore

ASSESSMENTS:

AUTO \$

MISC. \$

NAME

J Stewart Davis

ADDRESS

215 St Paul St

BOOK

459

BILL

141

1929		AMOUNT	INT-PEN	DISC.
STATE TAX \$.2574 PER \$100				None
CITY TAX \$2.38 PER \$100				
NEW ADDITION TAX \$1.904 PER \$100				
TOTAL		<i>7116</i>		
Unpaid Taxes	Yr.			
AUTO		<i>26</i>		
STATE		<i>77-6474</i>		
CITY		<i>13590</i>		
NEW ADDITION				
MISCELLANEOUS	<i>27</i>	<i>548</i>	<i>73</i>	
STATE	<i>26</i>	<i>547</i>	<i>13</i>	
CITY	<i>27</i>	<i>180</i>	<i>1073</i>	
NEW ADDITION	<i>26</i>	<i>4960</i>	<i>1457</i>	
TOTAL		<i>10835</i>	<i>2755</i>	
INT.-PEN.-DISC.		<i>2755</i>		
TOTAL		<i>13590</i>		

Account 7-4792

MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL OF
BALTIMORE,

A Body Politic and Corporate,

vs.

J. STEWART DAVIS

IN THE

BALTIMORE CITY COURT

Docket.....5....., Folio...2027....

Mr. Clerk:—

Please enter the above entitled case "Dismissed" upon payment of costs by the
~~Defendant~~ Plaintiff.

Al Walter Kraus

City Solicitor—Attorney for Mayor
and City Council of Baltimore.

S. J. McByh

P-A 252027
3

No. 26 Jan R. D. 19 30

Baltimore City Court.

The Mayor and City Council of Baltimore

A Body Politic and Corporate

vs.

J. Stewart Davis

215 St Paul St

WRIT OF SUMMONS

Cop of Nar and Notice to plead
within to be served on defendant.

A. Walter Kraus

Attorney for Plaintiff

Filed _____ day of _____ 19

FILED MAR 10 1930

John E. Potter
Sherrill

R. D. 1930

FEB.

JAN 14 1930 RENEWAL

John E. Potter
Sherrill
John E. Potter
Sherrill

R. D. 1930

MAR.

FEB. 12 1930 RENEWAL

Wm. E. Buck

Wm. E. Buck

Wm. E. Buck

WRIT OF SUMMONS

STATE OF MARYLAND

BALTIMORE CITY, To wit:

To the Sheriff of Baltimore City, Greeting:

You are commanded to summon

J. Stewart Lewis

of Baltimore City to appear before the Baltimore City Court, to be held at the Court House in the same city, on the second Monday of... next, to answer an action at the suit of The Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, a body politic and corporate.

and have you then and there this writ.

SAMUEL K DENNIS

Witness the Honorable ~~JAMES P. GORTER~~, Chief Judge of the Supreme Bench of

Baltimore City, the

9

day of

Sept

19 *29*

Issued the

3

day of

Dec

19 *29*

Geo Carey Lindsay Clerk.

No. 26

2027
5

IN THE

Baltimore City Court.

**THE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL
OF BALTIMORE**

**A Body Politic and Corporate,
Plaintiff.**

vs.

J. STEWART DAVIS

215 St. Paul Street

Defendant .

MR. CLERK:

*Issue in this case and send copy of the
declaration and notice with the writ, to be
served on the defendant , and make the
writ returnable on the second Monday of*

January..... 19 30

Walter Kraus

Attorney for Plaintiff.

Filed.....day of.....19

CLERK
1891
J. Stewart Davis

The Mayor and City Council of Baltimore,

A Body Politic and Corporate,

VS.

IN THE

J. STEWART DAVIS

Baltimore City Court

The Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, a body politic and corporate, by **A. Walter Kraus,** its attorney, sues **J. Stewart Davis,**

defendant ,

For money payable by the defendant to the plaintiff:

1. For goods bargained and sold by the plaintiff to the defendant .
2. And for work done and materials provided by the plaintiff for the defendant at **his** request.
3. And for money lent by the plaintiff to the defendant .
4. And for money paid by the plaintiff for the defendant . at **his** request.
5. And for money received by the defendant for the use of the plaintiff.
6. And for money found to be due from the defendant to the plaintiff on account stated between them.

7. And for that the defendant on and after the first day of October, in the year 19 ²⁵ , w^{as} lawfully in the possession of and owned certain chattels personal, goods, wares and merchandise in the City of Baltimore, Maryland, upon which there was duly made by the Appeal Tax Court of Baltimore City, an assessment of \$ **2000.00** for purposes of State and City taxation for the year 19 ²⁶ , upon which said assessment there was lawfully levied by the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore by Ordinance No. **518** , approved **Dec. 3, 1925** , a tax of **.27-12/35** cents per \$100 for State purposes for said year 19 ²⁶ , and there was also lawfully levied on the aforesaid assessment by the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, by Ordinance No. **513** , approved **Dec. 3, 1925** , a tax of \$ **2.48** per \$100 for City purposes for said year 19 ²⁶ , said State and City taxes upon said assessment, including interest and penalties to the date of the institution of this suit amounting to \$ **71.16** which said amount is now due and payable by said defendant to the plaintiff, and the defendant though often requested so to do, ha^s failed and refused to pay said taxes, or any part thereof, and still fail^s and refuse^s so to do.

8. And for that the defendant on and after the first day of October, in the year 19 ²⁶ , w^{as} lawfully in the possession of and owned certain chattels personal, goods, wares and merchandise in the City of Baltimore, Maryland, upon which there was duly made by the Appeal Tax Court of Bal-

timore City, an assessment of \$ 2000.00 for purposes of State and City taxation for the year 1927 , upon which said assessment there was lawfully levied by the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore by Ordinance No. 926 , approved Dec. 17, 1926 , a tax of .27-1/4 cents per \$100 for State purposes for said year 19 27 , and there was also levied on the aforesaid assessment by the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, by Ordinance No. 927 , approved Dec. 17, 1926 , a tax of \$ 2.39 per \$100 for City purposes for said year 19 27 , said State and City taxes upon said assessment, including interest and penalties to the date of the institution of this suit amounting to \$ 64.74 which said amount is now due and payable by said defendant to the plaintiff, and the defendant though often requested so to do, has failed and refused to pay said taxes, or any part thereof, and still fails and refuse s so to do.

9. And for that the defendant on and after the first day of October, in the year 19 w lawfully in the possession of and owned certain chattels personal, goods, wares and merchandise in the City of Baltimore, Maryland, upon which there was duly made by the Appeal Tax Court of Baltimore City, an assessment of \$ for purposes of State and City taxation for the year 19 , upon which said assessment there was lawfully levied by the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore by Ordinance No. , approved , a tax of cents per \$100 for State purposes for said year 19 , and there was also levied on the aforesaid assessment by the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, by Ordinance No. , approved , a tax of \$ per \$100 for City purposes for said year 19 , said State and City taxes upon said assessment, including interest and penalties to the date of the institution of this suit amounting to \$ which said amount is now due and payable by said defendant to the plaintiff, and the defendant though often requested so to do, ha failed and refused to pay said taxes, or any part thereof, and still fail and refuse so to do.

10. And for that the defendant on and after the first day of October, in the year 19 , w lawfully in the possession of and owned certain chattels personal, goods, wares and merchandise in the City of Baltimore, Maryland, upon which there was duly made by the Appeal Tax Court of Baltimore City, an assessment of \$ for purposes of State and City taxation for the year 19 , upon which said assessment there was lawfully levied by the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore by Ordinance No. , approved , a tax of cents per \$100 for State purposes for said year 19 , and there was also levied on the aforesaid assessment by the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, by Ordinance No. , approved , a tax of \$ per \$100 for City purposes for said year 19 , said State and City taxes upon said assessment, including interest and penalties to the date of the institution of this suit amounting to \$ which said amount is now due and payable by said defendant to the plaintiff, and the defendant though often requested so to do, ha failed and refused to pay said taxes, or any part thereof, and still fail and refuse so to do.

And the plaintiff claims \$ 300.00

A. Walter Cross

Attorney for Plaintiff.

TO THE DEFENDANT :

Take Notice —That upon the day of your appearance to this action, a rule will be laid upon you requiring you to plead to the Declaration in accordance with the Act of 1886, Chapter 184, and all supplements and amendments thereto, or judgment by default will be entered against you.

A. Walter Bräu

Attorney for Plaintiff.

I hereby authorize and approve the institution of the above suit.

William F. Broening

Mayor.

STATE OF MARYLAND, }
CITY OF BALTIMORE } *Sct.*

I HEREBY CERTIFY, That on this **3rd** day of **December**, 19 **29**, before me, the subscriber, a Notary Public of the State of Maryland, in and for the City of Baltimore aforesaid, duly commissioned and qualified, personally appeared **John T. Donohue**, City Collector, and agent for and in behalf of the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, plaintiff, and made oath in due form of law that there is justly due and owing by **J. Stewart Davis,**

the defendant in the said case, to the plaintiff on annexed statements and accounts (the causes of action in said cause) the sum of \$ **135.90** (with interest from **January** 1st, 19 **30**), over and above all discounts, to the best of his knowledge and belief. And he further swears that he is the Agent of the said plaintiff and duly authorized to make this affidavit, and has personal knowledge of the matters therein stated.

WITNESS my hand and notarial seal.

WILLIAM B. HENKEL

Notary Public.

855

2027
J

IN THE
BALTIMORE CITY COURT

998

MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL
OF BALTIMORE,
A Body Politic and Corporate,

vs.

J. STEWART DAVIS

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Mr. Clerk;—

Please file.

A. Walter Trause

*City Solicitor—Attorney for Mayor
and City Council of Baltimore.*

FILED APR 23 1930

MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL OF
BALTIMORE,

A Body Politic and Corporate,

vs.

J. STEWART DAVIS

IN THE

BALTIMORE CITY COURT

Docket.....5....., Folio...2027....

Mr. Clerk:—

Please enter the above entitled case "Dismissed" upon payment of costs by the
~~Defendant~~ Plaintiff.

Al Walter Kraus
City Solicitor—Attorney for Mayor
and City Council of Baltimore. *S. J. McElroy*